

Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to air an
anti-Kerry
documentary a short
time before the
Presidential
election is a clear
and specific example
of the dangers of
media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the
public airwaves free
of charge, and is
obligated by law to
serve the public
interest. Instead,
it is serving its
own interest by
putting on a
one-side and highly
questionable piece
of propaganda, and
labeling it as
"news" in order to
avoid having to give
an opposing point of
view equal time. If
this precedent is
allowed to stand,
the American public
will become pawns in
a power game
controlled by our
giant media
corporations.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
tighten media
ownership rules, and
prevent any one
corporation from
controlling the
information received
by a significant
portion of our
citizens. In
addition, he license
renewal process
ought to be very
stringent, as these
organizations have a
stranglehold on our
access to
information and
points of view.

Thank you.